

# Comment on "Connection between the Burgers equation with an elastic forcing term and a stochastic process"

Piotr Garbaczewski

*Institute of Physics, University of Zielona Góra, 65-516 Zielona Góra, Poland*

In the above mentioned paper by E. Moreau and O. Vallée [Phys. Rev. **E 73**, 016112, (2006)], the one-dimensional Burgers equation with an elastic (attractive) forcing term has been claimed to be connected with the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process. We point out that this connection is valid only in case of the repulsive forcing.

PACS numbers: 02.50.Ey, 05.90.+m, 05.45.-a

Let us consider the Langevin equation for the one-dimensional stochastic process in the external conservative force field  $F(x) = -dV(x)/dx$ :

$$\frac{dx}{dt} = F(x) + \sqrt{2\nu}b(t) \quad (1)$$

where  $b(t)$  stands for the normalized white noise:  $\langle b(t) \rangle = 0$ ,  $\langle b(t')b(t) \rangle = \delta(t - t')$ . The corresponding Fokker-Planck equation for the probability density  $\rho(x, t)$  reads:

$$\partial_t \rho = \nu \partial_{xx} \rho - \partial_x (F \rho) \quad (2)$$

and by means of a standard substitution  $\rho(x, t) = \Psi(x, t) \exp[-V(x)/2\nu]$ , [1], can be transformed into the generalized diffusion equation for an auxiliary function  $\Psi(x, t)$ :

$$\partial_t \Psi = \nu \partial_{xx} \Psi - \mathcal{V}(x) \Psi \quad (3)$$

where

$$\mathcal{V}(x) = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{F^2}{2\nu} + \partial_x F \right). \quad (4)$$

As discussed in detail in Refs. [3, 4, 5], given the so-called forward drift  $b(x, t)$  of the Markovian diffusion process, in the above identified with  $b(x, t) \doteq F(x)$ , one readily infers the so-called backward drift of this process

$$b_*(x, t) \doteq b(x, t) - 2\nu \partial_x (\ln \rho)(x) \quad (5)$$

which is known to solve the forced Burgers equation:

$$\partial_t b_* + b_* \partial_x b_* - \nu \partial_{xx} b_* = \mathcal{F}. \quad (6)$$

where  $\mathcal{F} = +2\nu \partial_x \mathcal{V}$ .

For the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process  $b(x) = F(x) = -\kappa x$  and accordingly

$$\mathcal{V}(x) = \frac{\kappa^2 x^2}{4\nu} - \frac{\kappa}{2}. \quad (7)$$

Substituting the inferred  $\mathcal{V}(x)$  into Eq. (3), we get Eq. (32) of Ref. [2]. We observe that the velocity field  $u(x, t)$ , defined by Eq. (35) of Ref. [2], does coincide with our  $b_*(x, t)$ , provided we set  $b(x, t) = -\kappa x$  in Eq. (5).

The related  $\mathcal{V}(x)$  gives rise to

$$\mathcal{F}(x) = 2\nu \partial_x \mathcal{V}(x) = +\kappa^2 x, \quad (8)$$

while an original elastic forcing problem addressed by [2], Eq. (2) therein, has the form:

$$\partial_t u + u \partial_x u - \nu \partial_{xx} u = -\kappa^2 x \quad (9)$$

and clearly differs from Eq. (6), with the necessarily arising  $+\kappa^2 x$  on its right-hand-side, by an innocent-looking but crucial in the present context sign of the forcing term.

Let us add that this particular sign issue has received due attention in Ref. [6]. A specific class of diffusion-type processes has been considered that would account for standard Newtonian accelerations (of the form  $-\partial_x W$  with  $W(x)$  bounded from below) on the right-hand-side of Eq. (6). It is in principle possible at the price of introducing an additional pressure-type forcing term.

**Acknowledgement:** This note has been supported by the Polish Ministry of Scientific Research and Information Technology under the (solicited) grant No PBZ-MIN-008/P03/2003.

- [1] H. Risken, *The Fokker-Planck equation*, (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1989)
- [2] E. Moreau and O. Vallée, Phys. Rev. **E 73**, 016112, (2006)
- [3] P. Garbaczewski and G. Kondrat, Phys. Rev. Lett. **77**, 2608, (1996)

- [4] P. Garbaczewski, G. Kondrat and R. Olkiewicz, Phys. Rev. **E 55**, 1401, (1997)
- [5] P. Muratore Ginanneschi, Physica **D 115**, 341, (1998)
- [6] P. Garbaczewski, Phys. Rev. **E 57**, 569, (1998)